

The background of the entire cover is a photograph of a pair of hands, likely belonging to a person of European descent, gently cupping a small, vibrant green plant with serrated leaves, possibly basil. The hands are positioned in the lower half of the frame, with the fingers slightly curled around the base of the plant. The plant itself is the central focus, with its leaves showing some water droplets, giving it a fresh appearance. The lighting is soft, highlighting the texture of the skin and the veins on the leaves. The overall mood is one of care, protection, and growth.

PATRIOTS

FOR EUROPE FOUNDATION

FOR A HUMAN ECOLOGY: A CONSERVATIVE AND LOCALIST APPROACH

**A study by Hervé Juvin
for the Patriots for Europe Foundation**

2023

**FOR A HUMAN ECOLOGY:
A CONSERVATIVE AND LOCALIST
APPROACH**

Ecology is a science. The science of complex living systems.

Its first scholars were conservatives. They were nationalists, and localists. Deeply rooted in their land, they share the same feeling ; the bounty, the plentiful, the marvels of nature are an unlimited source of joy and fulfillment for human beings. Just read Thoreau, or Hamsun.

From Ernst Haeckel, who coined the word "ecology", to Theodore Roosevelt who created the Yellowstone park, and from von Humboldt to Konrad Lorenz, true ecologists have nothing in common with immigrationists, multiculturalists, or "woke" militants. In fact, they were at the opposite side. They were committed to family values and transmission. They were men of one Nation, one land and one country. They stood firmly on their grounds, they kept them against any invaders, and they worked hard to maintain strong links with nature, geography and biology.

We went far away. Under the false flag : "Save the planet", political ecology was hijacked by the far left and is nowadays the worst enemy of nature as it is, family as it is, and Nations as they are. Under the fake system of "CSR"(corporate social responsibility), ecological issues are rerouted to achieve globalism, to destroy borders, and national or collective identities as well. Who can explain where environmental issues requires mass migrations ? Why they require open borders ?

Why NGOs and Foundations target traditional families, strong communities and powerful States who are the proven best friends of environment ? Why they use free trade and digital disorder, private censorship and oligarch's money to achieve their goals ?

If environmental failures actually are the main risk we face, I don't think political ecology as we know it is of any use. In fact, I published a book to explain how the old Nation, the old borders, the old family and the old religion are the best friends of a sound and friendly environment ("Chez nous ! Pour en finir avec une économie totalitaire", La Nouvelle Librairie, Paris, april 2022). And I tried to explain how we must build a humane ecology on the ruins of political ecology.

From a wider point of view, we'll try to explain how any world's lasting system faced the main threat, and managed it for the common good (1). We'll show how and why the main threat we face now comes less from the collapse of our environment and life itself than from the leftist, undemocratic and falsified "green deal", CSR and other fairy tales (2). And we'll redesign the grand project of a conservative and localist initiative for a better way of life, based on subsidiarity, on traditions and direct links with nature, with some consequences for free trade, businesses, and the way we live on earth – yet our only planet to live in (3).

1

FROM THE ROMAN EMPIRE TO THE CHINESE WARRING KINGDOMS AND THE AMERICAN ORDER

Coming from Eastern deserts or burning sands, with the Holy Kuran or the sword in hands as their decisive argument, Empires were a lot to run for world supremacy and a new order built for millenaries. Very few achieve something, and far less stand for millenaries, except China and Byzance. Some of them just lack the basic thinking to cope with their current situation. Some of them have just limited and parrochial views. Some of them reached enormous levels of military might and wealth, but failed to address correctly the real threat of their time and their world. Perhaps the first exception came from Alexander the Great, an extraordinary open-minded man, but so ambitious and unconventional for the time being that the Eurasian order he spread from Greece to the Indus river failed shortly after his death.

The first world order to last for centuries came from Roma, and its achievement was to bring peace and prosperity by the law against the barbaric tribes and chiefdoms surrounding it. Drinking water, abundant food, wood and marbles, and public baths as well were the marvels of an enduring Roman civilization. All Roman citizens were equal under the Law ; Caracalla granted Roman citizenship to every man living inside the "limes", in 322 AC, and the Roman Empire was the most populated political unity in the World. It enjoyed the greatest prosperity ever seen.

It is of great interest to compare the coming of the Roman Empire and the first lasting Chinese Empire. After the dark ages of the "Warring Kingdoms", the first dynasty to unify mainland China (about 200 bc) is based on a clear and uncompromising principle ; the divine mission of the Emperor is to bring food, rain and order all across its Empire (note 1). Political power relies on sustainability. Rule by the law depends on the resilience of the territory – rivers, forest, crops, etc. The stability of the monetary system ultimately comes from the ability to fund long term liabilities – from water, food and soil. And, for centuries, the Emperor will be taken accountable for rice crops, for the Yang Tse and the Yellow River floodings, and for the yearly return of spring...A young heir of the Empire was beaten when rain and floods were not coming from heaven ! He was the dignified successor of the Egyptian pharaohs, who were held accountable for the Nile floodings, the blessing of abundance from the Gods.

Then came the Christian Empire, based on another credential ; a common faith will achieve political and spiritual unity as well, and the dream of a unique world under Christ, the Roman Christian Empire, lived well across Europe for at least twelve centuries – never to be achieved. The Christian way of managing conflicts, “jus bellum”, aimed to protect women, children and civilians, was an immense progress from the barbarian wars. The best of life was to be found after death, and result from the Last judgment ; a strong mental discipline proceeds from the faith in life after death.

From the Magna Carta and the Charter of the Forests(1219) to the Bill of Rights (1689), something different was slowly taking momentum from British thinkers and politicians, something that will flourish under the United States’ independence and the French revolution. Life on earth is worth living, and it is good to have the better from it in this life, no matter what comes after.

The Nation state came to be seen as the best way to ensure both individual freedom and collective safety to every man as a citizen (women and non-whites being out of the picture for the moment). Great Britain was the first achievement in a long trend because it gave every British subject the strong feeling of being part of a great enterprise in this life – this achievement coming from merchants and pirates to the glorious British Empire of the Victorian era (note 3). The birth of sovereign Nations was the way to growth, safety and development – not the other way round. National interest add its broader view to the traditional community and family links.

There is a clear common feature between lasting international systems ; they succeeded because they addressed the main threat of the moment. Barbaric invasions for Roma; disunion for Christendom of Europe ; religious hatred for the Westphalian Treaty ; poverty and food scarcity in the 18th century ;and equilibrium of military forces inside Europe up to 1914.

What happened with the American-based order was not different. The price of isolationism clearly too high for them after the two tragic failures of the European order, the United States have had first to contribute, then to conceive and enforce a new world order after the collapse of the “Société des Nations” (SDN) against Italian fascism and Nazism, and the rampant conflict with the Soviet Empire. The goal was to ensure peace, order and freedom, and to develop business as well. And they did it well, till the 90's.

The way to succeed was unlimited growth, economical development and shared prosperity. Wages and return on equity progressed side by side. The political project designed a pattern more or less followed by any institution, from the Organization of United Nations to the World Bank, and from USAid to most of the NGOs of the last decades ; trade brings peace, development is the way of human progress, and economy gets the keys of the political engine. Where are the limits to growth ? Are they any ? None at the moment. The rational was one of getting human beings out of nature and living creatures ; AC, Air Conditioning, is the symbol of the better world to come (note 4).

Who cares about geography, the climate and the seasons?

After half a century, we discover an inconvenient truth ; ways of good life are destroying life itself. We are driven to a fake world, made of fake things for fake humans - babies born in birthing factories out of their mother's body. At the end of the day, anyone will share its part of the benefits flooding from the system, no doubt about that. And the American system succeeded because it delivers at least a great part of the promises it made. As former President Obama once said, “most of the people on earth, if they have had a choice, must have lived now” (nov. 2017). It is quite a noticeable figure of history's irony that some of the worst enemies of the United States benefited so much from a system developed and promoted by them, from Germany to China and Japan.

David Attenborough speaking for “we, the people of the world” at the request of the United Nations told before the general assembly of the delegates at the Summit for the Climate, in Katowice (Poland), dec. 2018 ; “we are facing the end of civilization, and the end of nature as we know them”. We disagree with this kind of statement, mainly because it is counter-productive, and false. We are not facing the end of nature, nature will survive mankind ; the problem is mankind, what we call "humane nature", decent ways of life, freedom and dignity. The problem with this kind of statement is the confusion it suggests ; as climate change is said to be a global issue for human kind, the answer is said to be also global, what is not true.

First, climate change has diverse effects on diverse oceans and continents -warming for some, and icing for others.

Second, not two ecosystems react the same way to climate change ; some of them will flourish, when some of them will die, and so is it for human kind ; some of them will adapt and benefit, some of them will suffer and even die.

Third, the answer for centuries was not to tackle climate change, the answer was to adapt to it, and human beings perform very well, from the yearround ice of Groenland to the "desert of deserts" of Arabic peninsula.

And fourth, effective answers were given not by technologies and "green deal", but from adaptative cultures, from traditions, and from institutions - there come the old religion, the old family, the old Nation.

Facing environmental issues, the first thing is to calm the debate, and see things for what they are, not what they are told to be, as Machiavel told us.

And it is urgent to come back to compassionate conservatism, to progressive nationalism- and to take back control from the technology. If our democracies dont take control over the techs, the techs will take control of our democracies. And we know what means Twitter, Google or Open Society taking control over our freedom. Rein in big techs ; that's the fight to come.



OUR SURVIVAL AS HUMAN BEINGS IS AT STAKE NOW

What means economic development for those ten or twenty millions of Indian citizens who cant breathe for few weeks all around Delhi (nov. 2019, may 2022), with air pollution at 40 times the red line settled by the Worlds Health Organization ?

What means environmental protection for those deported on the Internet 24 hours a day because there is nothing left of nature, jobs, families, local communities and all this stuff of the real world around them ? Nothing to go, except to the flat screen ; the new road to despair.

What means economic development for these people who cherished their sacred forests because the souls of their ancestors still live in, those who see every day the logging business destroying the living treasures of their giant trees for palm oil and money ?

What means environmental protection for those low wage workers who lose by the thousands their jobs in well managed Nations, to no rule based Asian or African countries ?

What means climate change regulations for those who face no opportunities for drinking, meeting and enjoying, out of the net, its addiction and its obsessions ?

When we come to environmental issues, everything seems above the "cost-benefits" computation. What does it cost, what does it mean, what does it produce ? There are questions NGO's and Foundations helped us never to ask. Never ask "why" ; just answer 'how'. This is the formula of our winning elite - and our decaying societies.

For the first time in human history, the major threats against human survival don't come from natural events, from conventional or even nuclear war between superpowers, from plague or disease. The major threat we face now comes from what we are told to cherish the most ; technology, radical individualism, high ROEs and a "no limit" world (note 5).

"No limit" is an insult to human condition - and to the Gods over us.

"We are in the business to change the world" is an insult to those who shaped this world, who worked hard and fought the good fights to give us this world, our freedom and wealth. Who are these who dare change my world ?

"The world is ours" is an insult to the very core of any civilization, that is respect, humility, restraint, and accepted limits to human desires and actions. The world doesn't belong to anybody - and nevertheless than to these globalist "non limit" guys who destroys everything for greed, for play, or for plain stupidity.

"No borders" is a death sentence against any ecosystem ; any specific ecosystem can survive only if it protects itself from invasive species. And the same is true for human societies - we are still to recognize this truth as a matter of survival.

When they target families, traditions and religions, the very means for growth, wealth and happiness are coming to be the seeds for war, destitution and poverty. Because survival is about communities holding their ground, about families transmitting values, traditions and social patterns, about borders protecting diversity.

The hardest problem we face now is not about the sixth extinction of animal species (even if we are the following one on the list...), climate change or even scarcity of natural resources (mostly fresh water, clean air and fertile soil). It comes out for the human body and for human life. Obesity, attention disorder syndroms, cancers, sterility, etc., are called "modernity diseases". They already reduced the life expectancy in poor districts of Great Britain and the US – the first time a massive loss of life time happens since the 50' (the average lifespan declines slowly in the USA since 2014).

Noam Chomsky is right pointing out the fact as the end of the “economic growth as human development” faith. They multiply premature deaths between these “no jobs, no assets, no hope” guys, in a process called “Death by despair” by two researchers in social science from Princeton University – drugs, alcoholism, opioids and other addictions and sometimes suicide as well are the products of the demise of our civilization (note 6). Air pollution by itself is a factor of low-Q I for children. Heavy metals and plastic particles in the flesh of deep sea fish are the main factor of sterility for about one third of the population of young adults in Denmark. And they generate a very hard-to-say process ; the process of degeneration of human beings. It is quite impossible to say what everybody feels perfectly well ; addiction to sugar, addiction to digital screens, addiction to a “couch potato” lifestyle is actually producing by the tens of millions these populations of fat, ugly and stupid individuals, promised to a short, violent and sad life – thank you Mac Donald's, Netflix and Amazon for the spiralling deficits of National Health services !

We are not about growth, and the economy ; we are about public safety. We have to recognize that the first of human rights is the right to global safety, id est environmental, cultural, religious and moral safety as well as safety of private property and physical integrity, we have to recognize that individual rights don't address this issue properly – and far more, that they could impoverish any society which struggle to effectively ensure these rights.

Giving each individual some kind of blank check to be cashed out by any society able to pay for it, is to give also unlimited leverage on natural resources, even to the brink of exhaustion ; this is the sad prospective of a world population growing up to the 10th billion individuals - and of European countries as well, trapped in the "human rights" logic.

The global safety of human beings is at stake now. And it is at stake not because of the failure of the American world's system and the international institutions it has created, it is at stake because of their achievements. We are threatened by what we cherished the most. The ways of unlimited progress, of permanent betterment of our way of life, of wealth and prosperity everywhere, of unrelented "me, inc." logics, are coming to be the ways of our demise, our destitution, our despair. The main threat doesn't come from the outside, China, Russia, or any of those "rogue states" which doesn't comply to Western rules. The main threat is coming from the inside, from the many achievements of the current successful system. How does it happen ?

The Climate policy debate, turning against the way of life of our citizens, meaning disempowerment, poverty and social failure, is adding despair to confusion. And, soon, violence to anger. We have to calm it, and to silence the Greta Thumbergs and the likes. The pain and fear they produce is far worse than climate change itself. The side effects of their campaigns are more dangerous than climate change denial - because they make so many people angry against any environmental .

To face the problem of our survival, the current trend of “painful ecology” – to tax and punish individual behavior - is totally irrelevant.

The problem of human survival is not the problem of Diesel engines, of baths in hotel bathrooms, or even of meat consumption. Painful ecology is a dead end ; in fact, waving a false flag, it just spreads confusion and makes things worse. It just benefits the Blackrock, State Street and Vanguard and the likes - the financial spoilers of the green concern.

The problem of human survival is one of industrial food, with terrific consequences on human health, from obesity to mental illness. The problem of digital monopolies is the flat screen obsession, with incredible effects on human minds. The problem of strong, sustainable territories is one of low cost airlines and mass tourism, one of the long distance carriers and hypermarkets, of the franchise boutiques which destroy local and regional diversity at a fast pace, and the network of SMEs and life in downtown as well. The problem is one of agrochemistry, with deadly effects on soils, insects and biodiversity. The problem is in free trade, which means slavery on a wide scale, expulsion of indigenous people, and extinction of human diversity (note 7). The problem is the hyperconcentration in the industrial sector, which calls for standardization, strategic dependence on few providers, like China for solar panels or rare Earth minerals used for electric engines. The problem is "new management" which ends any territorial responsibility for managers turned global and no more commitment to any citizenship or specific culture.

And the problem is the massive propaganda from NGOs, think tanks and lobbies to give us bad conscience as individuals, but never, never point out at the economic system as a whole, the global companies turned digital and the financial sector as the drivers of unsustainable requirements for revenues.

The problem came somewhere with the liberalism idea of separations, as said Pierre Manent – separations between private and public, capital and workers, trade and territories, law and traditions, etc. We are reaching the point where it is not possible to accept the demise of responsibility the liberalism calls for. And we are at the point where a new holism, society as a whole, human being as a whole, and territories as a whole, are the political next big big think - the true spirit of localism. Localism and circular economy, unity inside national borders are not the problem, there are part of the solution. Don't look at " corporate social responsibility", biased by LGBT and migrant lobbies, look at the assessment of corporate responsibility regarding the territories and the communities companies are to serve well – what we call ; " territorial and national responsibility" (note 8).

And the problem is just about intelligence – what shapes our future, both for the best or the worst...

When human life depends mainly on natural resources and ecosystemic systems (to drink, to breathe, to eat, to warm or refresh), our accounting systems grossly overestimate economic activity, and underestimate the natural services which are for free.

So to speak ; since the beginning of the industrial revolution, our overview of human activity versus natural services has suffered a bias from the accounting system. Just think about it ; “natural resources are taken for free, because they are in unlimited supply, and at disposition of anybody” (Jean Baptiste Say, Lecture for the Polytechnic School, 1819) ; who dares say that now ? But it is still the fundamental basis of our current accounting standards. Just to know ; natural fertilization by bees and other insects would have been priced about one third of any fruits and vegetables crops, have it be taken into account !.

A new world system based on the human quest for survival is the only one to face the major threat. Isn't it the way cautiously tested by the Chinese President, M. Xi Jin Ping, when he placed the environmental issue at the core heart of the Chinese Dream ? Isn't it the starting point of a new alliance from the West to the East to build a new and lasting world order, strongly based on the commitment for life and expansion of “everything under the sun” ?

This is the only project worth a fight to the end. Because it is a project for our survival.



THE "FORUMS FOR STRATEGIC NEIGHBORHOOD", A PROJECT FOR LIFE

Localism is the best way to reconcile daily life with the world as it is - diverse, unknown, non compliant. And localism is a call for a new world order, based on sovereignty, restraint, and borders. A call named ; " Forum of strategic Neighborhood", by the identity and Democracy Foundation.

The call for a new world order is widely recognized as relevant, if not urgent, in most parts of the world. History never turns back. Our current problem is not about growth but about progress ; in fact, it is about growth turning mad against progress. And he completely missed the turning point ; the collapse of natural living systems, with horrific consequences on European Nations – from mass migrations across the Mediterranean Sea to new figures of poverty, exclusion and despair at the heart of the European continent.

The system based on infinite mobility and the continuous change of everything is a road to nowhere. The system based on the ability of human beings to design and redesign themselves indefinitely, is the system of the past - of men dreaming of being Gods. If only they stay humane ! The new world will come back from the grounds, from the origins, from our ancestors. Just have a look at the way Narendra Modi is throwing away any territorial names coming from the Muslim world, to turn them into Hindu names, same from French names as "Pondichéry" that comes to be "pudicherry" and the same move growing all across Africa – no more "Victoria Lake" or "Victoria Falls" in the new Africa of Africans! Have a look at the way the Knesset voted a law recognizing the exclusive identity of Israel as "the Nation of the Jewish people" (July 2018). And see how much identity politics and the quest for "we, united citizens of our Nation" are pushing radical individualism in the garbage can of history, side by side with the defunct "worldwide democracy" or "government of humanity" – the last version of totalitarianism !

Diversity of people and societies is the key of a new, sustainable and friendly world.

We will survive because we are not the same, with the same desires, the same ideals, even the same needs. We are at the core of human ecology ; the science of séparations that makes so good human life, and so strong the belief of a special design from God or Nature !

The false idea of humanity coming to its unity, as Noah Rafic Hariri falsely depicted it as the way of history, is just the idea of humanity running like lemming to the next cliff – and running to its death, by millions. So stupid the idea of giving our fate to some tribal bigots !

If we are to survive as human species, we are to survive because we don't share the same ideals, the same desires, the same will. And we will survive because our cultures and civilizations are deeply rooted in specific geography, climate and resources – because nature and culture both defines a territory and a community as ours (note 8).

The answer to the survival problem of human beings on earth will come from three issues :

1- Keep your borders to enforce your collective choices. Human diversity, as borders and citizenship guarantee it, is the key point. We'll survive because all humans are not the same ; they develop cultures according to the geographical and natural conditions they live in, they follow different political and social models, they have different patterns of life, and all of them don't share the same idea of what is a good life – in fact, being a hero, a saint, or a scientist, was the more common idea of what a good life really was till mass consumption and the pursuit of happiness get us rid of that. This is what we called civilization. This is what globalization destroyed. It depends on the ability for every Nation to keep its borders, to decide who is in, and who stays out. And this is democracy. National sovereignty and the free will of “we, the people” are the keys for a more diverse and more sustainable world.

2- Be the good gardeners of your territory for children and grand children. Every human being shares a responsibility of the territory where he was born, whom he belongs to. He receives it from the past generations, it owes it to the generations to come, more beautiful, more friendly, more affluent. The idea of individual right to mobility is the worst threat against any sustainable ecological system, and particularly for Europe. The treasure of cultural diversity came from the need felt by every human society to adapt itself to climate, geography, endemic species, and nature as it was given to it. The false idea of a world turned flat, the blooming reality of air conditioners, digital entertainment, and low cost flights, promotes a worldwide uniformization of human beings. Mass migrations and mass tourism as well and the compulsory commitment to multiculturalism are the main threat against European soil, climate and biodiversity, as well as European civilization itself. And they are a threat against civilization itself, if, as Claude Levi-Strauss wrote, "there is no civilization at all if there are not civilizations".

3- Hold your ground and stay firm on it, avoid strategic dependencies. The promotion of fair trade, to restore the true meaning of open market, free competition and equal opportunities, is urgent. Using workers for free, exploiting mines, soils or seas without environmental constraints, destroying social and moral structures of societies, are not fair trade practices. We have to fight against destructive trade and extorting financial practices. Localism is the way to reunite human beings, territories, climate and life.

Promoting fair trade means that European countries could impose environmental norms, social conditions, minimum wages to the countries they buy products or services from. The commitment of major international institutions to free trade, individual rights of mobility across borders, against national sovereignty and singularity of Nations, makes them totally irrelevant. They are part of the problem, they won't be the solution.

We know that individual greed goes hand in hand with globalization to destroy our commons. And we know that digital age means the switch from unlimited satisfaction given by nature itself to limited satisfaction given by screens and networks – against payment (8). We discover that green washing is worsening the problem we cope with because it gives corporate intereststhe golden bullet to do nothing with consequences. So do the "woke culture", empowering minorities against the society itself and the majority of its citizens.

Our Forums set last March and April a position paper about seven major issues ;

1)The Forum must complete the declaration of individual rights with a declaration of collective rights to maintain and protect singularity and sovereignty over their territory. We must make it clear and simple ; the singularity of any Nation has to be recognized, promoted and protected as the first assetof human citizens.

And it means very clear and distinctive things. Europe is the land of Europeans. Any European citizen shares rights to environmental, social, cultural, linguistic and religious safety against any corruption attempt from the outside. And all European citizens have an undeniable right to commons in good shape (from a report to the European Parliament, May 2017, “ Le coup d’Etat du droit”, by Hervé Juvin).

2)The Forum must promote an equilibrium between social upgrade, shared benefits, environmental wellness, biodiversity, the commons, and economic development, according to the Declaration of Rio (1948) and the Coyoqoc agreement (1974). A new age of democracy is on the way (9). Progress means something only if its benefits are shared by all citizens. For instance, The Forum must promote the free trade or exchange of seeds without any relation to industrial producer and manager of “authorized seeds”, which is just the privatization of the genetic capital of territories and the extinction of endemic species for the sake of the industry.

3)The Forum must actively foster a greater autonomy of any Nation by closer links between energy, food and goods producers, and the territories they serve, which means relocalisation of industrial activities, promotion of autonomous sources of energy, unalienable rights of indigenous people upon biodiversity and genetic capital of endemic species. Localism is the most progressive political offer for now.

4) The Forum must rely on mass movements of citizens associated in the protection and the enrichment of their own territories, vegetal and animal species, and cultural goods as well, and put under control foreign NGOs relying on funding from big companies or affluent individuals, or foreign governments or institutions (10).

5) The Forum must support private companies with a deep sense of national and territorial responsibility, a real commitment with local communities, and a strong goal of sustainable activity by discriminatory taxes and requirements. It will promote such links and works to integrate these criterium in his commercial treaties and agreements. We must drive companies from global “Corporate Social Responsibility” to “National, Environmental and territorial Responsibility”, id est corporate behavior respectful of local communities, behaving well according to local and national laws, cultures and traditions.

6) The Forum call for a new world order based upon human diversity, freedom of “we, the people, good gardeners of our territory”, and the sovereignty of Nations. All laws, treaties, international commitment will be placed under the Authority of a renewed United Nations organization, which will have an initial say and the final authority upon any issue related to the human survival.

7) The Forum will be the key for a new world of peace, of enlightenment, and true human civilization, which means the blooming differentiation between civilizations.

It promotes political and cultural unity against multiculturalism, regionalism and territorial responsibility against mobility, Nations against global institutions, and ; “We, the people”, against ; “Me, sovereign individual”. And it paves the way for the Fourth economy, community and autonomy centered, as the Chisinau Forum of non aligned Countries worked for (12)

The Chinese government, when publishing the program of the 19th Congress for the coming century, emphasized its commitment to build the first “ecological civilization”. The President Xi Jin Ping himself coined the formula as a main issue for “the Chinese dream for the coming century”. India gave a powerful echo when defining India as the land of Hindus, a stressing the unity between land and people, nature and culture, and the state's duty to protect its citizens against foreign interference (13).

These calls somewhere are calls for the global leadership of the current century. The European Union has to make a call for this shared leadership. The Alliance for life will entirely fulfill the expectations of European citizens and by far exceed the project of M. Heiko Haas ; build the new world order from the unique historical and cultural experience of the European Nations, give a stronghold for national and social priorities and, shared with the whole world and any living creature on earth, simply give life a chance.

*Hervé Juvín,
MEP, President of the Identity and Democracy Foundation, from a
lecture in Novi Sad, Serbia, November the 29th of 2018*

1. IZhao Ting Yang, "Tien Tsia – everything under the sun", 2018
2. Henry Kissinger, "Diplomacy", 1996
3. Liah Greenfeld, " " American Affairs, 2019
4. Daniel Quinn, "Ishmaël", Bantam-Turner, 1992
5. Tim Marshall, "Prisoners of geography", Elliott and Thompson, 2016
6. Deacon, and al. "Death by Despair", aug. 2018, Princeton UP
7. Saskia Sassen, "Expulsions", 2014
8. Andrea Wulf, "The invention of Nature", John Murray, 2015
9. David Lofskin, " Digital Leisure", Stanford UP, may 2015
10. Timothy Mitchell, "Carbon democracy", 2013
11. Erika Bolstad, "High ground is becoming hot property..." Climate Wire, 1st may 2017
12. Chisinau Forum, "For the fourth Economy", Hervé Juvin et al., nov. 2016

PATRIOTS

FOR *EUROPE* FOUNDATION

Étude publiée par Patriots for Europe Foundation

25 Boulevard Romain Rolland – 75014 – Paris – France

Numéro de SIRET : 823 400 239 00021

Président de Patriots for Europe Foundation : Andràs Laszlo

Directeur : Raphaël Audouard

Publiée en 2023

contact@pfe-foundation.eu

www.pfe-foundation.eu

La Patriots for Europe Foundation est partiellement financée par le Parlement européen et a la seule responsabilité de cette publication. Cette publication n'est pas destinée à la vente.